.35

Appendix B

AICPA Peer Review Program

ENGAGEMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

(To be completed by Reviewed Firm)

FIRM NAME				
General Data				
Engagement Name or Code No.		(If client names	have been	deleted from
the financial statements, code these sheets as Nos. 1, 2, as	nd so on and ma	rk the financial staten	nents corre	espondingly.)
Period covered by financial statements		Total assets	\$	
Date of report (engagement letter if no report was issued)		Long-term debt	\$	
Date report or financial statements released		Equity	\$	
Major lines of business		Net sales	\$	
		Net income	\$	
At the time the report or financial statement(s) on the clor unbilled fees, or note(s) receivable arising from suc provided more than one year prior to the date of the report of the date of the date of the report of the date o	h fees, that ren	nained unpaid for any	y professio	
Accountant with final responsibility for the engagement (for example, sole practitioner or engagement partner)				
Accountant in charge of field work (for example, manager, supervisor, or senior accountant)				
Other personnel				
Nature of Entity:				
☐ Independent entity				
Consolidated or combined group				
☐ Subsidiary				
Other (explain)				
Nature of Service:				
Accounting and Review Services—				
Review				
☐ Compilation				
with disclosures omits disclosures	indepe	endent with respect to	the entity	
Preparation				
with disclosures omits disclosures				

Atte	est Services—
	Financial forecasts and projections
	Reviews of written assertions
	Agreed-upon procedures
	Other (describe)
Fin	ancial Statements Included:
	Balance sheet
	Income statement
	Statement of cash flows
	Statement of retained earnings
	Supplementary information (describe)
	Other (explain)
Acc	counting Basis for Financial Statements:
	Generally accepted accounting principles
	Cash basis
	Income tax basis
	Other (explain)
	mplete the following questions related to non-attest services, unless preparation services were provided, or a confidence was disclosed in the accountant's compilation report:
Wh	at types of non-attest services will be performed for this client? (Check all that apply.)1
	Activities such as financial statement preparation, cash-to-accrual conversions, and reconciliations ² [ET sec 1.295.010.06]
	Bookkeeping, payroll, and other disbursements [ET sec. 1.295.120]
	<u>Tax preparation services</u> [ET sec. 1.295.160]
	Other, which may include <u>advisory services</u> [ET sec. 1.295.105], <u>appraisal, valuation, and actuarial services</u> [ET sec. 1.295.110], <u>benefit plan administration</u> [ET sec. 1.295.115], <u>business risk consulting</u> [ET sec. 1.295.125] <u>corporate finance consulting</u> [ET sec. 1.295.130], <u>executive or employee recruiting</u> [ET sec. 1.295.135], <u>forensic accounting</u> [ET sec. 1.295.140], <u>information systems design, implementation, or integration</u> [ET sec. 1.295.145] <u>internal audit</u> [ET sec. 1.295.150], <u>investment advisory or management</u> [ET sec. 1.295.155]

¹ To determine the applicability of all cross-referenced Code sections, consider their effective dates.

² Effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2014, activities such as financial statement preparation, cash-to-accrual conversions, and reconciliations are considered outside the scope of the attest engagement and, therefore, constitute a non-attest service.

For each non-attest service type previously identified, identify the following (attach additional sheets, if necessary): • Specific non-attest service:
Individual in your firm responsible:
Name(s) and title of client personnel overseeing this service:
Please describe your assessment and factors leading to your satisfaction that the client personnel overseeing to service had sufficient skills, knowledge and experience to do so:
For each non-attest service type previously identified, identify the following (attach additional sheets, if necessary): • Specific non-attest service:
Individual in your firm responsible:
Name(s) and title of client personnel overseeing this service:
Please describe your assessment and factors leading to your satisfaction that the client personnel overseeing to service had sufficient skills, knowledge and experience to do so:
Did any of the non-attest service(s) involve leading and directing the entity, including making significant decisions
assuming management responsibilities?
Examples of such services include, but are not limited to, the following: (Check all that apply.)
Accepting responsibility for the preparation and fair presentation of the client's financial statements
Having check signing authority or power of attorney, whether used or not Preparing invoices, receipts, or other documents that evidence the occurrence of a transaction (including data entry Authorizing or executing transactions, or making decisions on behalf of the client Supervising, hiring, or terminating client employees
Serving on the client's board of directors
 Serving as a client's stock transfer or escrow agent, registrar, general counsel, or equivalent Accepting responsibility for the management of a client's project
Performing ongoing evaluations of the client's internal control as part of its monitoring activities Other
If any of the preceding boxes are checked, please provide a description:

		<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Ref.</u>
Spe	ecific Engagement Questions				
mer ly), A,	this is a compilation engagement when the compiled financial statents are not expected to be used by a third party [management use on, where an engagement letter was issued instead of a report, questions E, and F should be completed and the questions under K and L should completed in lieu of the questions under B–D, and G–J.)				
A.	Does the practitioner in charge of this engagement have a license to practice in the state in which the practitioner primarily practices public accounting? The license should have been active during the peer review year and through the earlier of the engagements issuance date or the date of peer review fieldwork.				
	Attach documentation of the license to this checklist. Acceptable documentation includes an original or copy of the license, print-out from an online license verification system, correspondence from the licensing authority, or other reasonable alternative documentation.				
B.	Is the firm independent with respect to the entity? If "no," answer questions 1, 2, and 3 and then skip to question D. (Not applicable for Preparation Engagements)				
	1. Did the firm limit its service to the compilation of financial statements?				
	2. Did the compilation report include a statement that the firm was not independent?				
	3. If the reason(s) the firm was not independent was disclosed, did the disclosure include all of the reasons independence was impaired?				
C.	Did the firm indicate in the Engagement Questionnaire that they provide any non-attest services? (Not applicable for Preparation Engagements) If "yes," answer the following questions:				
	1. Was the accountant in compliance with interpretations of the "Nonattest Services" subtopic (AICPA, <i>Professional Standards</i> , ET sec. 1.295) under the "Independence Rule" (AICPA, <i>Professional Standards</i> , ET sec. 1.200.001)				
	2. Did the firm document its understanding with the client as required by the "Documentation Requirements When Providing Nonattest Services" interpretation (AICPA, <i>Professional Standards</i> , ET sec. 1.200.050) Please submit the documentation to the reviewer.				
	3. If the firm provided multiple non-attest services to the client, before agreeing to perform multiple non-attest services, did the firm comply with the requirements of the "Cumulative Effect on Independence When Providing Multiple Nonattest Services" interpretation (AICPA, <i>Professional Standards</i> , ET sec. 1.295.020)?		П	П	

		<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Ref.
	Did the entity have any balances, transactions, events, or agreements of the following types during the year covered by the financial statements? If the answer is "yes," please indicate in the third column entitled "Ref." where the matter is disclosed—using the codes 'R" for the accountant's report, "F" for the financial statements, or "FN" for footnotes. If the answer is "yes" but the matter is not disclosed, please provide sufficient information in the "commentary" section of this questionnaire to enable the reviewer to consider whether the item has been appropriately accounted for, and/or disclosed. (Do not answer this question for engagements to compile historical, personal, or prospective financial statements that omit substantially all disclosures or attest services previously marked "other.")				
	1. Accounting changes.				
	2. Business combinations.				
	3. Related party transactions (including receivables and payables from officers, employees, and affiliates).				
	4. Leasing arrangements.				
	5. Pension plans.				
	6. Postemployment and postretirement plans other than pensions.				
	7. Stock option or purchase plans.				
	8. Contingencies.				
	9. Commitments.				
	10. Significant events between the balance sheet and report dates.				
	11. Pledging of assets.				
	12. Loan agreements or covenants imposing significant restrictions.				
	13. Capital stock with significant rights or preferences.				
	14. Treasury stock.				
	15. Discontinued operations.				
	16. Extraordinary items.				
	17. Unusual or infrequent items.				
	18. Restrictions on cash balances.				
	19. Allowance for doubtful accounts.				
2	20. Noncash transactions.				
2	21. Investments in debt or equity securities.				
2	22. Financial instruments with concentrations of credit risk.				
2	23. Financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk.				-
2	24. Other valuation accounts.				-
2	25. Income tax expense, benefits, temporary differences, investment tax credits, and other information on the effect of income taxes.				
2	26. Notes receivable or payable or debt with no interest rate or an inappropriate stated interest rate.				

		<u>IV/A</u>	<u>res</u>	<u> 1VO</u>	<u>Kej.</u>
	27. Economic dependence on customers.				
	28. Troubled debt restructurings.				
	29. Unusual or specialized accounting policies.				
	30. Research and development costs.				
	31. Computer software costs.				
	32. Product financing arrangements.				
	33. Foreign operations.				
	34. Foreign currency transactions.				
	35. Nonmonetary transactions.				
	36. Going-concern considerations. [AU-C sec. 570 par1011]				
E.	Were there any disagreements with the client on this engagement that, if not resolved to the firm's satisfaction, would have caused the firm to modify its report (or engagement letter when the compiled financial statements are not expected to be used by a third party where no report was issued) or to withdraw from the engagement? If the answer is "yes," provide sufficient information in the "commentary" section of this questionnaire to enable the reviewer to consider whether the item has been appropriately accounted for, disclosed, or both.				
F.	If the accountant (firm) was engaged to perform an audit in accordance with GAAS, prior to agreeing to change the engagement to a review or compilation, or a review rather than a compilation, did the accountant consider: (a) the reasons for the client's request, particularly the implications of a restriction on the scope of the audit, whether imposed by the client or by other circumstances, (b) the additional audit effort required to complete the audit and (c) the estimated additional cost to complete the audit? [SSARS 19 Engagement—AR 80.56–.61 for compilations; AR 90.63–.68 for reviews; SSARS 21 Reviews—AR-C 90.86]				
G.	If this engagement was a review, consider the following questions:				
	1. Did the accountant establish an understanding with management regarding the services to be performed and document the understanding through a written communication with the client? Did the accountant ensure that the understanding included the objectives of the engagement, management's responsibilities, the accountant's responsibilities, and the limitations of the engagement? For review engagements performed in accordance with SSARS No. 21, <i>Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services: Clarification and Recodification</i> (AICPA, <i>Professional Standards</i>), was the engagement letter or other suitable form of written communication signed by (a) the accountant or the accountant's firm and (b) management or those charged with governance? [SSARS 19 Engagements—AR 90.03–.06; SSARS 21 Engagements—AR-C 90.11–.12]				

H.

		<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Ref.</u>
2.	Did the accountant possess an understanding of the industry in which the entity operates, including the accounting principles and practices generally used in the industry, sufficient to assist the accountant with determining the specific nature, timing and extent of review procedures to be perform? [SSARS 19 Engagements—AR 90.08–.09; SSARS 21 Engagements—AR-C 90.14]				
3.	Did the accountant obtain knowledge about the entity sufficient to assist the accountant with determining the specific nature, timing and extent of review procedures to be performed? [SSARS 19 Engagements—AR 90.10–.13; SSARS 21 Engagements—AR-C 90.15]				
4.	Did the accountant (firm) obtain a representation letter from members of management whom the accountant (firm) believes are responsible for and knowledgeable directly or through others in the organization, about the matters covered in the representation letter? [SSARS 19 Engagements—AR 90.22; SSARS 21 Engagements—AR-C 90.33–.34]				
5.	Did the accountant become aware that information supplied by the client was incorrect, incomplete or otherwise unsatisfactory; did the accountant perform additional procedures as deemed necessary? [SSARS 19 Engagements—AR 90.21; SSARS 21 Engagements—AR-C 90.91]				
6.	Is the accountant's engagement documentation sufficiently detailed to provide a clear understanding of the work performed, the review evidence obtained and its source and the conclusions reached? [SSARS 19 Engagements—AR 90.25; SSARS 21 Engagements—AR-C 90.91]				
If t	he engagement was a compilation, consider the following:				
1.	Did the accountant establish an understanding with management regarding the services to be performed and document the understanding through a written communication with the client? Did the accountant ensure that the understanding included the objectives of the engagement, management's responsibilities, the accountant's responsibilities, and the limitations of the engagement? For compilation engagements performed in accordance with SSARS No. 21, was the engagement letter or other suitable form of written communication signed by (a) the accountant or the accountant's firm and (b) management or those charged with governance? [SSARS 19 Engagements—AR 80.02–.05; SSARS 21 Engagements—AR-C 80.10–.11]				
2.	Did the accountant possess an understanding of the industry in which the client operates, including the accounting principles and practices generally used in the industry sufficient to enable the accountant to compile financial statements that are appropriate in form for an entity operating in that industry? [SSARS 19 Engagements—AR 80.06; SSARS 21 Engagements—AR-C				
	80.12]				

		<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Ref.</u>
3.	Did the accountant obtain knowledge about the client, including an understanding of the client's business and an understanding of the accounting principles and practices used by the client? [SSARS 19 Engagements—AR 80.08–.09]				
4.	Is the accountant's engagement documentation sufficient in detail to provide a clear understanding of the work performed? [SSARS 19 Engagements—AR 80.14; SSARS 21 Engagements—AR-C 80.38]				
5.	For compilation engagements performed under SSARS 19, did the accountant's documentation include the following: [AR 80.15]				
	<i>a</i> . The engagement letter documenting the understanding with the client?				
	b. Any findings or issues that, in the accountant's judgment, are significant?				
	c. Communications, whether oral or written, to the appropriate level of management or others charged with governance, regarding fraud or illegal acts that come to the accountant's attention?				
6.	For compilation engagements performed under SSARS No. 21, did the accountant's documentation include the following: [AR-C 80.38]				
	a. The signed engagement letter or other suitable form of written documentation				
	b. A copy of the financial statements				
	c. A copy of the accountant's report				
If t	the engagement was a preparation, did the accountant				
1.	obtain an engagement letter or other suitable from of written agreement that documented the agreed-upon terms of the engagement? Did the accountant ensure that the agreement included all required items? Was the engagement letter or other suitable form of written communication signed by (a) the accountant or the accountant's firm and (b) management or those charged with governance? [AR-C 70.10–.11]				
2.	possess an understanding of the financial reporting framework and the significant accounting policies intended to be used in the engagement? [AR-C 70.12]				
3.	include a description of the financial reporting framework on the face of the financial statements if the financial statements were prepared in accordance with a special purpose framework? [AR-C 70.15]				
4.	include a statement on each page of the financial statements indicating, at a minimum, that "no assurance is provided" on the financial statements or issue a disclaimer that makes clear that no assurance is provided on the financial statements? [AR-C 70.14]				

I.

			<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Ref.</u>
	5.	provide documentation that included [AR-C 70.21]				
		a. the signed engagement letter or other suitable form of written documentation with management?				
		b. a copy of the financial statements that the accountant prepared?				
J.		this engagement was an agreed-upon procedures engagement, asider the following:				
	1.	Was the report dated the date of completion of the agreed-upon procedures? [AT sec. 201 par34]				
	2.	If a written assertion was required in the circumstances, did the responsible party provide the assertion in writing to the firm prior to the issuance of your report? [AT sec. 201 par09]				
	3.	Did the firm and the specified parties agree upon the procedures performed? [AT sec. $201 \text{ par. } .06c$]				
	4.	Was the specific subject matter to which the procedures were applied subject to reasonably consistent estimation or measurement? [AT sec. $201 \text{ par. } .06e$]				
	5.	Did the firm and the specified parties agree upon the criteria used in the determination of findings? [AT sec. 201 par06f]				
	6.	Were the applied procedures expected to result in reasonably consistent findings using the criteria? [AT sec. 201 par06g]				
	7.	Did you communicate with and obtain affirmative acknowledgment on the sufficiency of the procedure from each of the specified parties? (Communication can be either directly or via appropriate alternative procedures such as the following: comparing the procedures applied to written requirements of the specified parties, discussing the procedures applied with appropriate representatives of the specified parties involved, or reviewing relevant contracts with or correspondence from the specified parties.) [AT sec. 201 par07]				
	8.	Did the firm establish an understanding with the client regarding the terms of the engagement, preferably in an engagement letter? [AT sec. 201 par10]				
	9.	If the work of a specialist was used, did the firm and the specified parties explicitly agree to the involvement of the specialist in assisting the firm in the performance of the engagement? [AT sec. 201 par20]				
	10.	Were the agreed-upon procedures performed entirely by the firm except for those agreed by the firm and the specified parties that were performed by a specialist? [AT sec. 201 par21]				
	11.	Was the firm requested to add additional parties, and if so, did the firm obtain affirmative acknowledgment (normally in writ- ing) from the additional parties agreeing to the procedures per- formed and of its taking responsibility for the sufficiency of the				
		procedures? [AT sec 201 par 36]	1 1	1 1	1 1	

			<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Ref.</u>
12.	gag did	the firm was requested to change from another form of engement to an engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures, the firm consider the following before agreeing to the ange:				
	a.	The possibility that certain procedures performed as part of another type of engagement were not appropriate for inclusion in an agreed-upon procedures engagement? [AT sec. 201 par42a]				
	b.	The reason given for the request, particularly the implications of a restriction on the scope of the original engagement or the matters reported? [AT sec. 201 par42 <i>b</i>]				
	c.	The additional effort required to complete the original engagement? [AT sec. 201 par. $.42c$]				
	d.	If applicable, the reasons for changing from a general-use report to a restricted-use report? [AT sec. 201 par42d]				
13.	obt doe ject late	the practitioner obtains a representation letter as a means of taining certain representations from the responsible party, as the letter disclose all known matters contradicting the subtractor of the assertion, and any communication from regulary agencies affecting the subject matter or the assertion has an disclosed to the practitioner? [AT sec. 201 par38]				
14.	spo do obt (c)	the practitioner requested a representation letter and the re- onsible party refused to sign such a letter, did the accountant one of the following: (a) disclose in the report the inability to ain representations, (b) withdraw from the engagement, or change the engagement to another form of engagement? [AT a. 201 par39]				
		ngagement was an other attestation engagement, consider the ng questions:				
1.	oth .90 501	the report dated ordinarily on the date of completion of the er attestation engagement procedures? [AT sec. 101 par. i; sec. 301 par33k; sec. 301 par55q; sec. 401 par13j; sec. 1 par55k; sec. 601 par24p; sec. 601 par55k; sec. 701 par. k; and sec. 701 par85m]				
2.	con	the engagement was to determine the effectiveness of internal atrol over financial reporting, were the following conditions t for performing an examination? [AT sec. 501 par04]				
	a.	Did management accept responsibility for the effectiveness of the entity's internal control?				
	b.	Was there sufficient evidence to support or was evidence developed to support the responsible party's evaluation?				
	c.	Did the responsible party present its written assertions about the effectiveness of the entity's internal control in a separate report that accompanied the accountant's report or in a representation letter to the practitioner? [AT sec. 501 par05]				
	d.	Did the practitioner obtain written representations from the responsible party, and was the representation letter properly dated? [AT sec. 501 par52]				

K.

			<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Ref.</u>
3.	fied	the engagement was about the entity's compliance with speci- d requirements or the effectiveness of internal control over impliance, were the following conditions met for performing engagement on management's written assertions?				
	a.	Did management assert that they evaluated and accepted responsibility for the compliance with specified requirements and the effectiveness of the entity's internal control over compliance? [AT sec. 601 par09]				
	b.	Did management make an assertion about the entity's compliance with specified requirements? [AT sec. 601 par10b]				
	c.	Was there sufficient evidence to support management's evaluation? [AT sec. 601 par10c]				
	d.	Were the written assertions about compliance with specified requirements or the effectiveness of the entity's internal control over compliance made in a representation report for restricted use or in a separate report for general use? [AT sec. 601 par11 <i>a</i> –.11 <i>b</i>]				
	е.	Were the assertions so specific that the same or similar measurement and disclosure criteria would lead to similar conclusions? [AT sec. 601 par12]				
4.	the	the engagement was on pro forma financial information, did e firm obtain written representations from management con- rning their				
	a.	responsibility for the assumptions used in determining the pro forma adjustments and assumptions? [AT sec. 401 par. $.10h$]				
	b.	belief that the assumptions provide a reasonable basis for presenting all of the significant effects directly attributable to the transaction (or event), that the related pro forma adjustments give appropriate effect to those assumptions, and that the pro forma column reflects the proper application of those adjustments to the historical financial statements? [AT sec. 401 par10 <i>h</i>]				
	c.	belief that the significant effects directly attributable to the transaction (or event) are appropriately disclosed in the proforma financial statements? [AT sec. 401 par10 <i>h</i>]				
stat onl	teme y) w	engagement was a compilation when the compiled financial ints are not expected to be used by a third party (management use where no report was issued, did the documentation of the underginclude the following: [AR 80.03–.05]				
1.	Th	e nature and limitations of the services to be performed?				
2.		compilation is limited to presenting in the form of financial tements information that is the representation of management?				
3.	Th	e financial statements will not be audited or reviewed?				
4.		o opinion or any other form of assurance on the financial tements will be provided?				

L.

		<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Ref.</u>
5	. Management has knowledge about the nature of the procedures applied and the basis of accounting and assumptions used in the preparation of the financial statements?				
6	Acknowledgement of management's representation and agreement that the financial statements are not to be used by third parties?				
7	. If non-attest services are provided, that management is responsible for making decisions and evaluating and accepting responsibility for the services?				
8	The engagement cannot be relied upon to disclose errors, fraud, or illegal acts?				
9	Material departures from the applicable financial reporting framework may exist, and the effects of those departures, if any, on the financial statements may not be disclosed?				
1	0. Substantially all disclosures (and statement of cash flows, if applicable) required by the applicable reporting framework may be omitted?				
1	1. Lack of independence?				
1	2. A reference to supplementary information?				
s n n	Did the accountant include a reference on each page of the financial tatements restricting their use such as "Restricted for management's use only," or "Solely for the information and use by the nanagement of [Name of Entity] and not intended to be and should not be used by any other party?" [AR 80.23]				
Respo	onsible Party's Signature:		Г	Date:	

Explanation of References:

AU-C Reference to section number for Clarified Statements on Auditing Standards in AICPA *Professional*

Standards

AR Reference to section number for Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services in

AICPA Professional Standards

AR-C Reference to section number for Clarified Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review

Services in AICPA Professional Standards

AT Reference to section number for Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements in

AICPA Professional Standards

COMMENTARY ON ENGAGEMENT QUESTIONS

Question		
number	· -	Commentary
	<u>-</u>	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	_	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	<u>-</u>	
	·	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	<u>-</u>	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	
	-	

 $\it Note:$ Attach additional sheets if required.